UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 20-CR-30-7-JPS

HECTOR YAMIL RODRIGUEZ-RODRIGUEZ,

Defendant.

ORDER

1. INTRODUCTION

In April 2023, the United States Sentencing Commission ("the Commission") proposed to Congress an amendment to the United States Sentencing Guidelines (the "Guidelines"), known as "Amendment 821" or the "2023 Criminal History Amendment." Materials Relating to the 2023 Criminal Amendment, U.S. SENT'G History COMM'N, https://www.ussc.gov/policymaking/materials-relating-2023-criminalhistory-amendment [https://perma.cc/T954-GQFK] (last visited June 28, 2024). Part A of Amendment 821 "decreases 'Status Points' by one point for individuals with seven or more criminal history points and eliminates them for individuals with six or less criminal history points" (the "Status Point Amendment"). *Id.* (under "Who is Eligible for Retroactive Application?" heading). "Individuals who received Status Points in their criminal history calculation are eligible for this retroactive reduction." Id. Part B of Amendment 821 allows for a two-level decrease in a defendant's offense level if the defendant has no criminal history points and their offense of conviction did not involve any of the nine specified aggravating factors (the

"Zero-Point Offender Amendment"). U.S.S.G. § 4C1.1(a). In August 2023, the Commission voted to give retroactive effect to these portions of Amendment 821. Retroactivity Amendment ("Reader-Friendly" version), U.S. SENT'G COMM'N (Aug. 31, 2023), available at https://www.ussc.gov/sites/default/files/pdf/amendment-process/reader-friendly-amendments/202308_RF-retro.pdf [https://perma.cc/P2B4-8FUA] (last visited June 28, 2024). Amendment 821 took effect on November 1, 2023. Id.

In June 2024, Defendant Hector Yamil Rodriguez-Rodriguez ("Defendant") moved for a sentence reduction under Amendment 821. ECF No. 1178. Defendant appears to move under the Zero-Point Offender Amendment, but the Court will analyze his eligibility under both the Zero-Point Offender Amendment and the Status Point Amendment. *Id.* at 1 (citing U.S.S.G. §§ 4C1.1 and 4A1.1(d)).

2. LAW AND ANALYSIS

The Court may modify a term of imprisonment "in the case of a defendant who has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the . . . Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. [§] 994(o)." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).¹ "[U]pon motion of the defendant or the Director of the Bureau of Prisons, or on its own motion, the court may reduce the term of imprisonment, after considering the factors set forth in section 3553(a) to the extent that they are applicable, if such a reduction is consistent with applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission." *Id*.

¹Section 994(o) provides that the Commission may "review and revise" the Guidelines. 28 U.S.C. § 994(o).

Page 2 of 4

In the case of Amendment 821, the relevant policy statement is U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10. That provision states that "[a] reduction in the defendant's term of imprisonment is not consistent with this policy statement and therefore is not authorized under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) if . . . [the] amendment . . . does not have the effect of lowering the defendant's applicable guideline range." U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(a)(2)(B). The commentary adds that such a circumstance may arise "because of the operation of another guideline or statutory provision"—for example, "a statutory mandatory minimum term of imprisonment." U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10 app. note 1(A).

Additionally, "the court shall not reduce the defendant's term of imprisonment . . . to a term that is less than the minimum of the amended guideline range" determined after application of any relevant amendment(s), subject to exceptions not applicable here. U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b)(2)(A); U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b)(2)(B), (c) (setting forth exceptions).

Defendant is not eligible for a reduced term of imprisonment under the Zero-Point Offender Amendment because he received two criminal history points. ECF No. 1075 at 21. Defendant is also ineligible for a reduced term of imprisonment under the Status Point Amendment because he did not receive any status points. *Id*.

Accordingly, the Court is constrained to deny Defendant's motion for a sentence reduction under Amendment 821, ECF No. 1178, and need not analyze whether the factors in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) warrant such a reduction.

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that Defendant Hector Yamil Rodriguez-Rodriguez's motion to reduce his sentence under Amendment 821, ECF No. 1178, be and the same is hereby **DENIED**.

Dated at Milwaukee, Wisconsin, this 28th day of June, 2024.

BY THE COURT:

U.S. District Judge